

Some cities create new housing for their growing populations by constructing a smaller number of high-rise buildings. Other cities create more of low-rise buildings. Which solution is better, in your opinion?

Since the population is rising every single day, urban areas should provide a wide range of accommodation for their residents. Some cities prefer to construct fewer multi-story buildings, while others chose to build a larger number of single-stories for their citizens. Now, the question is which one could be regarded as the /a best-better feasible resolution.

An obvious positive point of skyscrapers is that a large number of people could live there while a small space is occupied. Due to this distinctive characteristic, central parts of big cities, where land is scarce and highly demanded, have generally benefited from it. New York City is one tangible example, constant constructions of high-rise buildings in its down-town have-has led to reduction of rent in this area. On the other hand, the problem in this case is not only should residents living in a tower follow restrict regulations and rules but also their, privacy is undermined/rare. Furthermore, the cost of maintenance of these buildings is substantial.

Were-if individuals have-had an option to choose from, many probably would prefer to live in low-rise buildings and even build their own dream house. This type of building generally is picked up by the elderly or big families where they need to have fewer stairs to prevent or lessen injury or have more space to live conveniently. Moreover, living-in a low-rise building is cheaper to maintain as opposed to tall buildings. However, they are mostly located in the suburbs; as a consequence, it would be time-consuming to commute to the city center and likely result in a negative effect on its own residents' quality of life.

In conclusion, both types of buildings have their own merits and demerits. From my point of view, modern multistory buildings could be used in the center of cities where a large number of youngsters live and employment opportunities are more available. However, low dwellings could be regarded as hosts of senior citizens who require peace and quieter places for living. Therefore, applying both strategies seems vital.